

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR .	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/716,343	11/17/2003	James W. Myrland	27420007	7625
25005	7590 12/11/2006		EXAMINER	
DEWITT ROSS & STEVENS S.C. 8000 EXCELSIOR DR			DONNELLY, JEROME W	
SUITE 401			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MADISON,	WI 53717-1914		3764	

DATE MAILED: 12/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/716,343	MYRLAND, JAMES W.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jerome W. Donnelly	3764				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 1,2 6,11 13 -15,18,26-28 3935, 48, 51,52 and 54 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to: 3-57-10 12 16 17 19-25 29-33 36-47 49 50 53 and 55						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 1,26,1/13-15,18,26-28 39,331 36-47 49 50 5 3 and 55						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 3~3 770 12 77 77 72 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action of form PTO-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
0.	Tun's					
JEROME DONNELLY						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	. 4) 🔲 Interview Summar	BINARY EXAMINER				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail [ 5) Notice of Informal	Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Application/Control Number: 10/716,343

Art Unit: 3764

Claims 3-5, 7-10, 12, 16, 17, 19-25, 29-33, 36-47, 49, 50, 53 and 55 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 6, 11, 13-15, 18, 26-28, 34, 35, 48, 51, 52 and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Holmes.

Holmes discloses a device comprising first and second struts (12, 13, 25 and 26), rotatable legs 7 and 8, and collars (12 and 13) (applicant is reminded that the lower support leg is not being claimed as attached to the first support strut only that it extend therefrom.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 52 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holmes.

Holmes discloses a device having an elastic member as a portion of locking means a first strut and a rotatable support leg.

Holmes however does not disclose his device wherein the elastic member is situated on the first strut and bear against the support leg to resist motion of the support leg.

Application/Control Number: 10/716,343

Art Unit: 3764

Holmes however does disclose an elastic member wherein the elastic member is situated on the leg member of his device and the elastic member bears against a first strut.

Given the above teaching of Holmes of elastic mechanisms bearing against attached rotatable members. The examiner notes that a reversal of components wherein the elastic member is situated on the strut member would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art so long as the rotatable resilient locking of the leg member remained functionally same in the current device of Holmes.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note the overall device of Harmon Yu Fenner, McPhilomy, and Padula Od II et al

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jerome Donnelly at telephone number (571) 272-4975.

Jerome Donnelly

JEROME DONNELLY
PRIMARY EXAMINER